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Introduction

Background
In 2004, HRS added a new feature for data collection in the form of self-administered questionnaires that were left with respondents upon the completion of an in-person Core Interview. These are referred to as Leave-Behind Questionnaires and electronic versions of the questionnaires are included in the 2004 Final release and 2006 Early Release. The purpose of the Leave-Behind Questionnaire is to collect additional information from respondents without adding to the interview length. Two separate questionnaires on different topics were included in HRS 2004: a) Participant Lifestyle Questionnaire, which measures psychosocial issues that are described in much more detail later in this report, and b) Participant Questionnaire on Work and Health, which included a series of disability vignettes in which people with various levels of physical and mental health impairment were described and respondents were asked to indicate the extent to which they think the person described is limited in the kind or amount of work they can do. At the beginning of the vignettes, respondents were asked to rate their own degree of limitation in the kind or amount of work they can do.

The Participant Lifestyle Questionnaire: Addition of Psychosocial Measures in the HRS
Since its inception in 1992, the HRS survey has focused on the health, economics, and demographics of aging and the retirement process, and the assessment of psychosocial issues in aging was not a goal of the HRS. In 2003, the NIA-HRS Data Monitoring Committee commissioned a report by Professor Carol Ryff of the University of Wisconsin, which described the research opportunities from expansion into this area. This report can be found online at the following site: http://hrsonline.isr.umich.edu/papers/dmc/HRSReview-RyffPsychosocialVariables.pdf

The launch of the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA) in 2002 provided a starting-point model for psychosocial data collection in HRS. The HRS then formed a Working Group under the direction of Jim House to consider content and methods toward four aims: 1) to determine the extent to which psychosocial measures may improve understanding of causes and effects of health, well-being, and retirement in middle and later life, 2) to improve understanding of social disparities in health, and 3) to increase utilization of HRS data by researchers in additional social science fields, including social epidemiology, social gerontology, and psychology, and 4) to facilitate the cross-cultural comparison of data from the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA; http://www.ifs.org.uk/elsa/index.php) and the Survey of Health, Aging, and Retirement in Europe (SHARE; http://www.share-project.org/).

In 2004, the HRS Psychosocial Working Group developed a pilot Participant Lifestyle Questionnaire and administered it as a leave-behind self-administered questionnaire to a pilot sample of about 4,000 respondents. In 2005, the scientific review of the HRS renewal proposal strongly endorsed this new content and recommended an approach more strongly grounded in psychological theory than that taken by ELSA. In conjunction with a subcommittee of the NIA-HRS Data Monitoring Committee (Lisa Berkman, John Cacioppo, Nicholas Christakis, and Carol Ryff), the HRS consulted widely with experts in the psychology of aging and conducted a workshop at the Annual Meeting of the Gerontological Society of America in Orlando, FL on
November 18, 2005. The purpose of this meeting was to review the data collected from the pilot study, and discuss plans for a revised survey to be administered in 2006.

Participants at the November 18, 2005 Psychosocial Workshop included:

Toni Antonucci, University of Michigan
Elizabeth Breeze, University College, London
Deborah Carr, Rutgers University
Philippa Clarke, University of Michigan
Sheldon Cohen, Carnegie Mellon University
Eileen Crimmins, University of Southern California
Gwenith Fisher, University of Michigan
Robert Hauser, University of Wisconsin
Tess Hauser, University of Wisconsin
Jim House, University of Michigan
James Jackson, University of Michigan
Margie Lachman, Brandeis University
John J. McArdle, University of Southern California
Carol Ryff, University of Wisconsin
Richard Schulz, University of Pittsburgh
Jacqui Smith, Max Planck Institute of Human Development, now University of Michigan
Ron Spiro, VA Boston Healthcare System and Boston University
David Weir, University of Michigan
Robert Willis, University of Michigan

2004 Survey Methodology
In the 2004 wave of HRS, one of two leave-behind questionnaires was administered to a random subsample of living, non-institutionalized respondents who completed face-to-face interviews in that wave. The leave-behind questionnaires were designed as self-administered questionnaires and they were left with the selected respondents at the end of the interview. Respondents were asked to complete the questionnaire and mail it back to the main field office at the University of Michigan. The Participant Questionnaire on Work and Health One consisted of a series of work disability vignettes and was targeted toward respondents under 75 years of age, and the Participant Lifestyle Questionnaire contained questions on psychosocial topics and was administered to respondents of all ages.

Leave-behind questionnaires were administered to a random sample of respondents who were not in a nursing home and interviewed in-person in HRS 2004. Administration of the leave-behind questionnaires began around April 27 and continued through the end of the 2004 field period. Questionnaire assignments were made by Primary Sampling Unit (PSU) in such a way as to provide roughly equal numbers of respondents for each of the two leave-behind questionnaires. A random sample of the non-self-representing PSUs (roughly one-half) were
assigned to the Participant Lifestyle Questionnaire and the remaining non self-representing PSUs were assigned to the Participant Questionnaire on Work and Health. In self-representing PSUs, the sample was split so that roughly one-half of the segments within each PSU received each type of questionnaire. In households containing two respondents, both respondents received the same type of questionnaire.

**Response rate:** Among respondents who completed a core interview in 2004 and were eligible for the psychosocial leave-behind, the response rate is 76.8%. Factoring in the 2004 core response rate of 88.9%, the overall response rate is 68.3%.

**2006 Survey Methodology**

In 2006, the updated and revised psychosocial questionnaire Participant Lifestyle Questionnaire was administered, but the disability questionnaire (the Participant Questionnaire on Work and Health) was not repeated in 2006. In 2006, HRS respondents received a questionnaire if they were selected for the enhanced FTF interview as long as they were alive and either they or a proxy completed at least part of the interview in person. Telephone follow-ups were conducted with respondents who had not returned a questionnaire after the second reminder notice.

**Response rate:** In 2006 the response rate of leavebehind questionnaires was about 90% among persons who completed the enhanced face-to-face interview themselves. Among all those assigned to get the enhanced interview who completed any sort of interview, the response rate was about 82%. Factoring in the 2006 core response rate of 90% for those assigned to enhanced face-to-face, the overall response rate was about 74%.

**Weighting**

Because not all HRS respondents were asked to complete a psychosocial questionnaire, separate respondent-level survey weights have been constructed to adjust for the sample selection. The sample weight for the 2004 questionnaire will be available in the tracker file, with the variable name JWGTR_PSYSOC.

The 2004 psychosocial leave behind respondent weight is the product of two factors: a) the HRS respondent-level weight for the 2004 wave, and b) a non-response adjustment factor. The non-response adjustment factor was obtained from a propensity model predicting the probability of completing the psychosocial leave-behind questionnaire among all eligible cases. The propensity model was estimated by logistic regression and weighted by the HRS respondent-level weight. Predictor variables included age, sex, race/ethnicity, language of interview, coupleness, education, work status, self-rated health, a dichotomous indicator of chronic disease, vision rating, cognitive status, proxy status, and two general indicators of cooperativeness: whether the respondent completed an interview in the prior wave and total number of interviewer calls required to complete the 2004 interview. The inverse of the fitted probabilities of completion formed the non-response adjustment factor. The resulting weight was trimmed at the fifth and ninety-fifth percentiles. This weight is defined for respondents who completed the psychosocial leave-behind questionnaire. We recommend using the psychosocial weight when analyzing data from the psychosocial questionnaire.
The 2006 psychosocial sample weight is still in the development process. Additional details concerning how this weight was constructed will be provided once this weight variable has been released.

**Special Methodological Issues to Consider**

**Response Scales**

The Participant Lifestyle Questionnaire is comprised of a variety of items and scales that are described in more detail later in this document. Please note that the response categories in the questionnaire vary across scales, and it is very important to consult the codebook questionnaire (available on the HRS website) to know what the response options were for each item. The specific response scales that are used differ throughout questionnaire in order to maintain comparability with response scales used in other surveys (e.g., ELSA, SHARE, MIDUS), previous research literature, and occasionally due to practical constraints.

**Recoding Responses and Negative Wording of Survey Items**

Many of the items within measures in the questionnaire will need to be recoded so that higher values correspond with higher levels on a given item or measure. For example, the positive affect items in Question 27 are asked using a scale as follows: 1=All of the time, 2=Most of the time, 3=Some of the time, 4=A little of the time, and 5=None of the time. By recoding the values so that 1=None of the time, 2=a little of the time, etc., higher values will correspond to higher levels of positive affect.

In addition, items vary in terms of being positively and negatively worded. This is a practice frequently employed in the assessment of psychosocial issues to combat response sets (e.g., when a respondent circles the same answer for every question). The values for negatively worded items need to be reversed so that a total positively-oriented score may be obtained. For example, Question 22d to assess control reads “I have little control over the things that happen to me.” The values on this item will need to be recoded in order to be consistent with other items where higher values indicate having more control when combining values on this item with other items in this scale. Wherever possible, this documentation report provides information and guidance to assist with determining when items need to be reverse-coded.

**Who Completed the Questionnaire?**

Question 51 was asked at the end of the survey: “Were the questions in this booklet answered by the person whose name is written on the front cover, or did someone else provide their own answers?” This question was asked so that the data collected on this questionnaire can be properly matched with other data files containing information pertaining to the same respondent. For example, if the person to whom the questionnaire was given does not answer the questions and instead another person in the household completes the survey him/herself (rather than as a proxy or on behalf of the person for whom the questionnaire is intended), then information from this survey cannot necessarily be accurately merged with other HRS data files containing information.
A Word to Readers
This report is intended to provide users with a summary of the topics covered in the 2004 and 2006 HRS psychosocial self-administered questionnaires. The questionnaires differ in some respects, such as the inclusion of more topics in 2006 and the different numbering of questions. In order to provide a method for easy comparison between the two questionnaires, the topic section is listed first in the order of the 2006 questionnaire with the 2004 question numbers underneath. Three topics are covered in 2004 that are not addressed in 2006. These sections are provided at the end of the report. Appendix A includes a concordance table that illustrates question numbers and content in the 2004 and 2006 questionnaires.
Survey Content

Q. 1 Social Participation
Q. 1 (2004)

These 8 items are used as indicators of social participation.

Source:
Adapted from ELSA

Items: 8 items (Q01a – Q01h)
(Which of these statements apply to you?)
Q01a I read a daily newspaper.
Q01b I have a hobby or a pastime.
Q01c I have taken a vacation within the US in the last 12 months.
Q01d I have taken a vacation outside the US in the last 12 months.
Q01e I have gone on a daytrip or outing in the last 12 months.
Q01f I use the internet and/or email.
Q01g I own a cell phone.
Q01h None of these statements apply to me.

Coding: 1=Yes, 5=No

Q. 2 Social Integration

This question captures the degree of embeddedness in social networks (clubs, groups, etc.) in terms of the frequency of social interaction within this network.

Items: 1 item (Q02)
(Not including attendance at religious services, how often do you attend meetings or programs of groups, clubs, or organizations that you belong to?)

Coding: 1=More than once a week, 2=Once a week, 3=2 or 3 times a month, 4=About once a month, 5=Less than once a month, 6=Never. (Note that unless you recode the scale, higher values will correspond to less social integration.)
**Q. 3 Life Satisfaction**

**Q. 5 (2004)**

This is Diener’s measure of life satisfaction, an established measure of subjective well-being with extensive evidence of reliability and construct validity. The measure was also included in the 2004 Pilot SAQ (alpha reliability=.90).

**Source:**


**Items:** 5 items (Q03a – Q03e)

*(Please say how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.)*

Q03a  In most ways my life is close to ideal.
Q03b  The conditions of my life are excellent.
Q03c  I am satisfied with my life.
Q03d  So far, I have gotten the important things I want in life.
Q03e  If I could live my life again, I would change almost nothing

**Coding:** 1=Strongly disagree, 2=Somewhat disagree, 3=Slightly disagree, 4=Slightly agree, 5=Somewhat agree, 6=Strongly agree

**Scaling:** Create in index of life satisfaction by averaging the scores across all 5 items. Set the final score to missing if there are three or more items with missing values.

**Psychometrics:** Alpha = .89

**Background:**

This is a series of questions assessing social integration (number of social ties) and the quality of interaction with those social ties. Separate questions are asked about spouse/partner (Q.5-6), children (Q.8-10), family (Q. 12-14), and friends (Q. 16-18). For each relationship category there are 3 positively worded items (items a-c) and 4 negatively worded items (items d-g).

**Items:**

(Please check the answer which best shows how you feel about each statement.)

**Positive Social Support (items a-c)**
- a. How much do they really understand the way you feel about things?
- b. How much can you rely on them if you have a serious problem?
- c. How much can you open up to them if you need to talk about your worries?

**Negative Social Support (items d-g)**
- d. How often do they make too many demands on you?
- e. How much do they criticize you?
- f. How much do they let you down when you are counting on them?
- g. How much do they get on your nerves?

**Coding:**
1=A lot, 2=Some, 3=A little, 4=Not at all.

**Scaling:**
Create an index of social support for each relationship category by averaging the scores within each dimension. Set the final score to missing if there is more than one item with missing values for the positive social support scale, or more than two items with missing values for the negative social support scale.

**Psychometrics:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Spouse</th>
<th>Children</th>
<th>Other Family</th>
<th>Friends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive Social Support</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative Social Support</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q. 19 (items a-e) Cynical Hostility
Q. 6 (items t-x) (2004)

These five items from the Cook-Medley Hostility Inventory have been used in several important studies evaluating potential health consequences of hostility. The measure was also included in the 2004 Pilot SAQ (alpha reliability=.85, factor loadings range from .63 to .81).

Source:


**Items:**
5 items (Q19a-Q19e)

*(Please say how much you agree or disagree with the following statements:)*

Q19a   Most people inwardly dislike putting themselves out to help other people
Q19b   Most people will use somewhat unfair means to gain profit or an advantage rather than lose it.
Q19c   No one cares much what happens to you.
Q19d   I think most people would lie in order to get ahead.
Q19e   I commonly wonder what hidden reasons another person may have for doing something nice for me.

**Coding:**
1=Strongly disagree, 2=Somewhat disagree, 3=Slightly disagree, 4=Slightly agree, 5=Somewhat agree, 6=Strongly agree

**Scaling:**
Create an index of cynical hostility by averaging the scores across all items. Set the final score to missing if there are more than three items with missing values.

**Psychometrics:**
Alpha = .79

**Background:**
**Q. 19 (items f-k) Optimism/Pessimism**  
**Q. 6 (items n-s) (2004)**

These items tap dispositional optimism and pessimism. The measure was also included in the 2004 Pilot SAQ. A slightly modified version appears in SHARE.

**Source:**

**Items:**
6 items (Q19f-Q19k)  
*(Please say how much you agree or disagree with the following statements:)*

- Q19f  If something can go wrong for me it will.
- Q19g  I’m always optimistic about my future.
- Q19h  In uncertain times, I usually expect the best.
- Q19i  Overall, I expect more good things to happen to me than bad.
- Q19j  I hardly ever expect things to go my way.
- Q19k  I rarely count on good things happening to me.

**Coding:**
1=Strongly disagree, 2=Somewhat disagree, 3=Slightly disagree, 4=Slightly agree, 5=Somewhat agree, 6=Strongly agree

**Scaling:**
Create an index of optimism by averaging the scores across items Q19g, Q19h, and Q19i. Set the optimism score to missing if there is more than one item with missing values.

Create an index of pessimism by averaging the scores across items Q19f, Q19j, and Q19k. Set the pessimism score to missing if there is more than one item with missing values.

**Psychometrics:**
- Optimism  Alpha = .80
- Pessimism  Alpha = .77

**Background:**

**Q. 19 (items l-o) Hopelessness**

**Q. 6 (items k-m) (2004)**

This measure consists of two items from Everson et al. (Q. 19l-m) and two from Beck et al. (Q19n-o). It was also included in the 2004 Pilot SAQ (alpha reliability = .87).

**Source:**


**Items:**

4 items (Q19l-Q19o)

*Please say how much you agree or disagree with the following statements:*

Q19l  I feel it is impossible for me to reach the goals that I would like to strive for.

Q19m The future seems hopeless to me and I can’t believe that things are changing for the better.

Q19n I don’t expect to get what I really want.

Q19o There’s no use in really trying to get something I want because I probably won’t get it.

**Coding:**

1=Strongly disagree, 2=Somewhat disagree, 3=Slightly disagree, 4=Slightly agree, 5=Somewhat agree, 6=Strongly agree

**Scaling:**

Create an index of hopelessness by averaging the scores across all items. Set the final score to missing if there are more than two items with missing values.

**Psychometrics:**

Alpha = .86
**Q. 20 Loneliness**

**Q. 4 (2004)**

This measure was developed by Hughes and colleagues in 2004 to assess loneliness in large scale surveys. The measure was also included in the 2004 Pilot SAQ.

**Source:**


**Items:** 3 items (Q20a-Q20c)

(The next questions are about how you feel about different aspects of your life. For each one, please say how often you feel that way.)

Q20a  How often do you feel you lack companionship?
Q20b  How often do you feel left out?
Q20c  How often do you feel isolated from others?

**Coding:** 1=Often, 2=Some of the time, 3=Hardly ever or never

**Scaling:** Create an index of loneliness by reverse-scoring the 3 items and averaging the scores across all items. Set the final score to missing if there is more than one item with missing values.

**Psychometrics:** Alpha = .82

**Background:**


**Q. 21 Neighborhood Disorder/Neighborhood Social Cohesion**  
*(Not Included in 2004)*

The measure taps two dimensions of neighborhood context: (i) physical disorder (vandalism/graffiti, rubbish, vacant/deserted houses, crime); and (ii) social cohesion/social trust (I feel part of this area, trust people, people are friendly, people will help you).

*Source: ???*

A modified version also appears in ELSA

**Items:** 8 items (Q21a-Q21h)  
*(These questions ask how you feel about your local area, that is everywhere within a 20 minute walk or about a mile of your home)*

- **Q21a**  I really feel part of this area/I feel that I don’t belong in this area
- **Q21b**  Vandalism and graffiti are a big problem in this area/There is no problem with vandalism and graffiti in this area
- **Q21c**  Most people in this area can be trusted/Most people in this area can’t be trusted
- **Q21d**  People would be afraid to walk alone in this area after dark/People feel safe walking alone in this area after dark
- **Q21e**  Most people in this area are friendly/Most people in this area are unfriendly
- **Q21f**  This area is kept very clean/This area is always full of rubbish and litter
- **Q21g**  If you were in trouble, there are lots of people in this area who would help you/If you were in trouble, there is nobody in this area who would help you
- **Q21h**  There are many vacant or deserted houses or storefronts in this area/There are no vacant or deserted houses or storefronts in this area

**Coding:** 7 point scale (range 1 – 7)

**Scaling:** Create an index of neighborhood physical disorder (items 21b, 21d, 21f, 21h) by reverse-scoring items 21b, 21d and 21h and averaging the scores across all 4 items. Set the final score to missing if there are more than two items with missing values.  
Create an index of neighborhood social cohesion (items 21a, 21c, 21e, 21g) by reverse-scoring all items and averaging the scores across all 4 items. Set the final score to missing if there are more than two items with missing values.

**Psychometrics:**  
Neighborhood Physical Disorder:  Alpha = .64  
Neighborhood Social Cohesion:  Alpha = .82
Q. 22-23 Sense of Control
(Not Included in 2004)

These measures are based on the Midlife Developmental Inventory (MIDI). Also appears in MIDUS (waves 1 and 2)

Source:


**Items:** 5 items for constraints (Q22a-Q22e); 5 items for mastery (Q23a-Q23e)

(please say how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.)

Q22a  I often feel helpless in dealing with the problems of life.
Q22b  Other people determine most of what I can and cannot do.
Q22c  What happens in my life is often beyond my control.
Q22d  I have little control over the things that happen to me.
Q22e  There is really no way I can solve the problems I have.
Q23a  I can do just about anything I really set my mind to.
Q23b  When I really want to do something, I usually find a way to succeed at it.
Q23c  Whether or not I am able to get what I want is in my own hands.
Q23d  What happens to me in the future mostly depends on me.
Q23e  I can do the things that I want to do.

**Coding:**
1=Strongly disagree, 2=Somewhat disagree, 3=slightly disagree,
4=Slightly agree, 5=Somewhat agree, 6=Strongly agree

**Scaling:**
Create an index of Constraints by averaging the scores across items Q22a-Q22e. Set the final score to missing if there are more than three items with missing values. Create and index of Mastery by averaging the scores across items Q23a-Q23e. Set the final score to missing if there are more than three items with missing values.

**Psychometrics:**
Constraints:  Alpha = .86  Mastery:  Alpha = .89
**Background:**


**Q. 24-26 Domain Specific Control**
(Not Included in 2004)

Three single-item measures of domain specific control (health (Q24), work (Q25), and finances (Q26) that come directly from MIDUS.

**Coding:** 10 point scale

**Q. 27 Positive and Negative Affect**
(Not Included in 2004)

These 12 items are used in MIDUS.

**Source:**


**Items:** 6 items for positive affect (Q.27a-f) and 6 items for negative affect (Q.27i-n).

(During the last 30 days, about how often did you feel...?)

**Positive Affect**

Q27a cheerful?
Q27b in good spirits?
Q27c extremely happy?
Q27d calm and peaceful?
Q27e satisfied?
Q27f full of life?

**Negative Affect**

Q27i so depressed that nothing could cheer you up?
Q27j hopeless?
Q27k restless or fidgety?
Q27l that everything was an effort?
Q27m worthless?
Q27n nervous?

**Coding:** 1=All of the time, 2=Most of the time, 3=Some of the time, 4=A little of the time, 5=None of the time
Scaling:

Create an index of positive affect by reverse-coding items Q27a-Q27f and averaging the scores across all 6 items. Set the final score to missing if there are more than three items with missing values.

Create and index of negative affect by reverse-coding items Q27i-Q27n and averaging the scores across all 6 items. Set the final score to missing if there are more than three items with missing values.

Psychometrics:

Positive affect: Alpha = .92
Negative affect: Alpha = .88

Additional items in Q27 correspond to the same CES-D items in the core HRS that assess depressive symptoms. The eight psychosocial questionnaire items that map to the HRS core depressive symptoms items are as follows: Q27g, Q27h, Q27i, Q27l, Q27o, Q27p, Q27q, Q27r.

Background:


Q. 28-29 Religiosity/Spirituality & Prayer Frequency

(Not Included in 2004)

These 4 items are designed to tap religious beliefs, meaning and values. (Note: Religious affiliation and attendance are already collected in the Demographics section of the core HRS.)

Source:

Religiosity/Spirituality

Items: 4 items (Q28a-Q28d)
(Please say how much you agree or disagree with each of the following statements)
Q28a I believe in a God who watches over me.
Q28b The events in my life unfold according to a divine or greater plan.
Q28c I try hard to carry my religious beliefs over into all my other dealings in life.
Q28d I find strength and comfort in my religion.

Coding: 1=Strongly disagree, 2=Somewhat disagree, 3=Slightly disagree, 4=Slightly agree, 5=Somewhat agree, 6=Strongly agree

Scaling: Create an index of religiosity by averaging the scores across all 4 items. Set the final score to missing if there are more than two items with missing values.

Psychometrics: Alpha = .92

Q. 29 Frequency of Prayer

How often do you pray privately in places other than at church or synagogue?

Coding: 1=More than once a day, 2=Once a day, 3=A few times a week, 4=Once a week, 5=A few times a month, 6=Once a month, 7=Less than once a month, 8=Never

Scaling: Reverse-code the score to create a measure of the frequency of prayer.
Q. 30 Everyday Discrimination
(Not Included in 2004)

These 5 items are designed to tap into the hassles and chronic stress associated with perceived everyday discrimination. Q. 31 is a follow-up question which asks about this reason attributed to the discrimination. Similar questions are in MIDUS.

Source:

Items: 5 items (Q30a-Q30e)
(In your day-to-day life how often have any of the following things happened to you?)
Q30a You are treated with less courtesy or respect than other people.
Q30b You receive poorer service than other people at restaurants or stores.
Q30c People act as if they think you are not smart.
Q30d People act as if they are afraid of you.
Q30e You are threatened or harassed.

Coding: 1=Almost everyday, 2=At least once a week, 3=A few times a month, 4=A few times a year, 5=Less than once a year, 6=Never

Scaling: Create an index of discrimination by reverse-coding all items and averaging the scores across all 5 items. Set the final score to missing if there are more than three items with missing values.

Psychometrics: Alpha = .80
Q. 31 Attributions of Everyday Discrimination
(Not Included in 2004)

(If any of the above have happened to you, what do you think were the reasons why these experiences happened to you? (Mark (X) all that apply.)

Q31M1 Your ancestry or national origin
Q31M2 Your gender
Q31M3 Your race
Q31M4 Your age
Q31M5 Your weight
Q31M6 A physical disability
Q31M7 Other aspect of your physical appearance
Q31M8 Your sexual orientation
Q31M9 Other

Background:
Q. 32 Balance/Reciprocity
(Not Included in 2004)

Source: (Also appears in SHARE)

Items: 3 items (Q32a-Q32c)
(The following statements are about people’s expectations of each other. Please tell us how much you agree or disagree with each statement for you personally.)

Q32a I have always been satisfied with the balance between what I have given my partner and what I have received in return
Q32b I have always received adequate appreciation for providing help in my family
Q32c In my current major activity (job, looking after home, voluntary work) I have always been satisfied with the rewards I received for my efforts

Coding: 1=Strongly disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Neither agree nor disagree, 4=Agree, 5=Strongly agree, 6=Does not apply

Scaling: Create an index by averaging scores across items. Set the final score to missing if there is more than one item with missing values.

Psychometrics: Alpha=.73
Q. 33 Personality
(Not Included in 2004)

These 26 items tap the ‘Big 5’ personality items (Neuroticism, Extraversion, Openness to Experience, Agreeableness, and Conscientiousness).

Source:

Also appears in MIDUS.

Items: 26 items (Q33a-Q33z)
(Please indicate how well each of the following describes you.)

Q33a Outgoing
Q33b Helpful
Q33c Moody
Q33d Organized
Q33e Friendly
Q33f Warm
Q33g Worrying
Q33h Responsible
Q33i Lively
Q33j Caring
Q33k Nervous
Q33l Creative
Q33m Hardworking
Q33n Imaginative
Q33o Softhearted
Q33p Calm
Q33q Intelligent
Q33r Curious
Q33s Active
Q33t Careless
Q33u Broad-minded
Q33v Sympathetic
Q33w Talkative
Q33x Sophisticated
Q33y Adventurous
Q33z Thorough

Coding: 1=A lot, 2=Some, 3=A little, 4=Not at all
Scaling:
Reverse-code all items EXCEPT Q33p and Q33t, and average the scores for items within sub-dimensions for Neuroticism (Q33c, Q33g, Q33k, Q33p), Extroversion (Q33a, Q33e, Q33i, Q33s, Q33w), Agreeableness (Q33b, Q33f, Q33j, Q33o, Q33v), Conscientiousness (Q33d, Q33h, Q33m, Q33t, Q33z), and Openness to Experience (Q33l, Q33n, Q33q, Q33r, Q33u, Q33x, Q33y).
Set the final score to missing if more than half of the items have missing values within each sub-dimension.

Psychometrics:
- Conscientiousness: \( \text{Alpha} = .67 \)
- Agreeableness: \( \text{Alpha} = .78 \)
- Neuroticism: \( \text{Alpha} = .70 \)
- Openness: \( \text{Alpha} = .79 \)
- Extroversion: \( \text{Alpha} = .75 \)

Background:


**Q. 34 Personality**  
*(Not Included in 2004)* This measure was included to add additional items to assess conscientiousness and neuroticism.

**Source:**  

**Items:**  
8 items (Q34a-Q34h)  
*(Please indicate how accurate each of these descriptions is of you.)*

- Q34a  Get chores done right away.
- Q34b  Have frequent mood swings.
- Q34c  Often forget to put things back in their proper place.
- Q34d  Am relaxed most of the time.
- Q34e  Like order.
- Q34f  Get upset easily.
- Q34g  Make a mess of things.
- Q34h  Seldom feel blue.

**Coding:**  
1=Very Accurate, 2=Moderately Accurate, 3=Neither inaccurate or accurate, 4=Moderately Inaccurate, 5=Very Inaccurate

**Scaling:**  
Reverse-code items Q34c, Q34d, Q34g, Q34h, and average the scores across items within sub-dimensions for: conscientiousness (Q34a, Q34c, Q34e, Q34g) and neuroticism (Q34b, Q34d, Q34f, Q34h).

**Psychometrics:**  
Initial exploratory factor analysis results do not support the two factors of conscientiousness and neuroticism, and there appears to be a strong social desirability effect. The measure seems to perform poorly on its own, but it may have value when combined with Q.33. In general, the psychometric properties of the personality items in Q33 indicate stronger support for the constructs of interest.
**Q. 35 Psychological Well-Being**

**Q. 6 (items f-i) (2004)**

These items come from the Ryff Measures of Psychological Well-being (1989). 7 items tap each of the three dimensions of well-being (the original measure assesses 6 dimensions of well-being): Purpose in Life; Personal Growth, and Self Acceptance.

**Sources:**


**Items:**

21 items (Q35a-Q35u)

*(Please read the statements below and decide the extent to which each statement describes you.)*

**Purpose in Life Dimension (Q35a-Q35g)**

Q35a  I enjoy making plans for the future and working to make them a reality.
Q35b  My daily activities often seem trivial and unimportant to me. (-)
Q35c  I am an active person in carrying out the plans I set for myself.
Q35d  I don't have a good sense of what it is I'm trying to accomplish in life. (-)
Q35e  I sometimes feel as if I've done all there is to do in life. (-)
Q35f  I live life one day at a time and don’t really think about the future. (-)
Q35g  I have a sense of direction and purpose in my life.

**Personal Growth Dimension (Q35h-Q35n)**

Q35h  I am not interested in activities that will expand my horizons. (-)
Q35i  I think it is important to have new experiences that challenge how I think about myself and the world.
Q35j  When I think about it, I haven't really improved much as a person over the years(-)
Q35k  I have the sense that I have developed a lot as a person over time.
Q35l  I do not enjoy being in new situations that require me to change my old familiar ways of doing things. (-)
Q35m  I gave up trying to make big improvements in my life a long time ago. (-)
Q35n  For me, life has been a continuous process of learning, changing, and growth.
**Self Acceptance Dimension (Q35o-Q35u)**

Q35o  I feel like many of the people I know have gotten more out of life than I have. (-)

Q35p  In general, I feel confident and positive about myself.

Q35q  When I compare myself to friends and acquaintances, it makes me feel good about who I am.

Q35r  My attitude about myself is probably not as positive as most people feel about themselves. (-)

Q35s  In many ways, I feel disappointed about my achievements in life. (-)

Q35t  When I look at the story of my life, I am pleased with how things have turned out.

Q35u  I like most parts of my personality

**Coding:**

1=Strongly disagree, 2=Somewhat disagree, 3=Slightly disagree, 4=Slightly agree, 5=Somewhat agree, 6=Strongly agree

**Scaling:**

Reverse-code the negatively phrased items (-) and then average the scores across items to create an index of well-being for each dimension (ranging from 1-6), with a high score indicating positive well-being. Set the final score for each dimension to missing if there are more than three items with missing values within each dimension.

**Psychometrics:**

Purpose in Life: Alpha = .74

Personal Growth: Alpha = .76

Self Acceptance: Alpha = .81

**Background:**


**Q. 36 Major Experiences of Lifetime Discrimination**  
(Not Included in 2004)

These 6 items capture major experiences of unfair treatment.

**Sources:**

**Items:** 6 items (Q36a-Q36e)
(For each of the following events, please indicate whether the event occurred **AT ANY POINT IN YOUR LIFE.** If the event did happen, please indicate the year (age?) in which it happened most recently.)

- **Q36a**  At any time in your life, have you ever been unfairly dismissed from a job?
- **Q36b**  For unfair reasons, have you ever not been hired for a job?
- **Q36c**  Have you ever been unfairly denied a promotion?
- **Q36d**  Have you ever been unfairly prevented from moving into a neighborhood because the landlord or a realtor refused to sell or rent you a house or apartment?
- **Q36e**  Have you ever been unfairly denied a bank loan?
- **Q36f**  Have you ever been unfairly stopped, searched, questioned, physically threatened or abused by the police?

**Coding:** 1=Yes, 5=No

**Scaling:** A measure of major discrimination is constructed by summing the number of affirmative responses.

**Background:**
Q. 37 Lifetime Traumas


These 10 items come from an ongoing longitudinal study of the health consequences of trauma in older adults (Krause, et al., 2004), which developed the checklist from several sources.

Sources:

Items: 10 items (Q37a-Q37j)

*(For each of the following events, please indicate whether the event occurred AT ANY POINT IN YOUR LIFE. If the event did happen, please indicate the year (age?) in which it happened most recently.)*

Q37a Has a child of yours ever died?
Q37b Have you ever been in a major fire, flood, earthquake, or other natural disaster?
Q37c Have you ever fired a weapon in combat or been fired upon in combat?
Q37d Has your spouse, partner, or child ever been addicted to drugs or alcohol?
Q37e Were you the victim of a serious physical attack or assault in your life?
Q37f Did you ever have a life-threatening illness or accident?
Q37g Did your spouse or a child of yours ever have a life-threatening illness or accident?
Q37h Before you were 18 years old, did you have to do a year of school over again?
Q37i Before you were 18 years old, did either of your parents drink or use drugs so often that it caused problems in the family?
Q37j Before you were 18 years old, were you ever physically abused by either of your parents?

Coding: 1=Yes, 5=No

Scaling: The measure can be scored by calculating a simple unweighted sum of all traumatic events across the life course. A second set of measures can be derived by focusing on trauma arising during developmental age periods (Krause, et al., 2004).

Background:
Q. 38 Stressful Life Events


These 5 items were also in the 2004 Pilot SAQ. They tap major life events.

Source:

Items: 5 items (Q38a-Q38e)

(Now please think about the last 5 YEARS of your life and indicate whether each of the events below occurred in the last 5 years).

Q38a Have you involuntarily lost a job for reasons other than retirement at any point in the past five years?
Q38b Have you been unemployed and looking for work for longer than 3 months at some point in the past five years?
Q38c Was anyone else in your household unemployed and looking for work for longer than 3 months in the past five years?
Q38d Have you moved to a worse residence or neighborhood in the past five years?
Q38e Were you robbed or did you have your home burglarized in the past five years?

Coding: 1=Yes, 5=No

Scaling: An index can be created by summing the number of positive responses to the items.
Q. 39 Financial Strain

Q. 29 (2004)

These 2 items were in the 2004 Pilot SAQ. (Alpha reliability in 2004 SAQ=.82.)

Source: Items provided by David Williams, Harvard University


Items: 2 items (Q39a-Q39b)

(Please indicate which of the following choices best describes how you feel about your current financial situation.)

Q39a How satisfied are you with (your/your family’s) present financial situation?

Coding: 1=Not at all satisfied, 2=Not very satisfied, 3=Somewhat satisfied, 4=Very satisfied, 5=Completely satisfied

Q39b How difficult is it for (you/your family) to meet monthly payments on (your/your family’s) bills?

Coding: 1=Not at all difficult, 2=Not very difficult, 3=Somewhat difficult, 4=Very difficult, 5=Completely difficult

Psychometrics: Alpha = .80

Background:


.
**Q. 40 Chronic Stressors**

**Q. 30 (2004)**

These 8 items were also in the 2004 Pilot SAQ. They capture chronic stressors. (Alpha reliability=.71 in 2004 SAQ.)

**Source:**


**Items:** 8 items (Q40a-Q40h)

*(Please read the list below and indicate whether or not any of these are current and ongoing problems that have lasted twelve months or longer. If the problem is happening to you, indicate how upsetting it has been. Check the answer that is most like your current situation)*

- **Q40a** Ongoing health problems (in yourself)
- **Q40b** Ongoing physical or emotional problems (in spouse or child)
- **Q40c** Ongoing problems with alcohol or drug use in family member
- **Q40d** Ongoing difficulties at work
- **Q40e** Ongoing financial strain
- **Q40f** Ongoing housing problems
- **Q40g** Ongoing problems in a close relationship
- **Q40h** Helping at least one sick, limited, or frail family member or friend on a regular basis

**Coding:** 1=No, didn’t happen, 2=Yes, but not upsetting, 3=Yes, somewhat upsetting, 4=Yes, very upsetting

**Scaling:** The measure can be scored by calculating a simple unweighted sum of all ongoing problems.

**Psychometrics:** Alpha = .67, although these items are not necessarily intended to have a high degree of internal consistency.

**Background:**

Five items were selected from the widely used Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI). The Beck Inventory has been shown to distinguish symptoms of anxiety from depression and to be valid for use in older populations

Sources:


**Items:** 5 items (Q41a-Q41e)

*Now I am going to read some statements about how people sometimes feel. After each statement, please indicate how often you felt that way DURING THE PAST WEEK. Again, the best answer is usually the one that comes to your mind first, so do not spend too much time on any one statement."

- Q41a I had fear of the worst happening.
- Q41b I was nervous.
- Q41c I felt my hands trembling.
- Q41d I had a fear of dying.
- Q41e I felt faint.

**Coding:** 1= Never, 2=Hardly ever, 3=Some of the time, 4=Most of the time

**Scaling:** Responses to the 5 items are averaged to produce an index of anxiety ranging from 1-4. Set the final score to missing if more than two of the items have missing values.

**Psychometrics:** Alpha = .81

**Background:**
The Spielberger Anger Expression Scale (STAX) measures anger along two dimensions: state anger and trait anger. Trait anger (anger-in) refers to a more stable predisposition to respond to a range of situations with an angry response; while state anger (anger-out) represents a more temporary angry reaction usually expressed through behavior.

Source:

Items: 4 items for the Anger-In scale (items 42a-42d), 7 items for the Anger-Out scale (items 42e-42k)

(Here are some statements that describe how people react or behave when they are feeling angry or mad. Thinking of the times you feel angry, for each statement please indicate how often you react or behave this way)

Q42a When I am feeling angry or mad, I keep things in.
Q42b When I am feeling angry or mad, I withdraw from people.
Q42c When I am feeling angry or mad, I am irritated more than people are aware.
Q42d When I am feeling angry or mad, I am angrier than I am willing to admit.
Q42e When I am feeling angry or mad, I argue with others.
Q42f When I am feeling angry or mad, I strike out at whatever infuriates me.
Q42g When I am feeling angry or mad, I say nasty things.
Q42h When I am feeling angry or mad, I lose my temper.
Q42i I am quick tempered.
Q42j I have a fiery temper.
Q42k I fly off the handle.

Coding: 1=Almost never, 2=Sometimes, 3=Often, 4=Almost always

Scaling: Create an index of Anger-In by averaged the scores for items 42a-42d (range 1-4). Set the final score to missing if more than two of the items have missing values.
Create an index of Anger-Out by averaged the scores for items 42e-42k (range 1-4). Set the final score to missing if more than three of the items have missing values.
Psychometrics:

- Anger In: Alpha = .78
- Anger Out: Alpha = .88

**Background:**


**Q. 43-44 Ladder**

**Q. 24-25 (2004)**

The ladder is designed to capture relative deprivation.

**Source:** (Also appears in ELSA)

**Scoring:** Continuous measure (1-10)
Q. 46-47 Work/Family Priorities
(Not Included in 2004)

As asked only among respondents who are currently working, these questions tap the balance between work and family.


Q.46 How often do you feel that you put your JOB before your FAMILY?

Q.47 How often do you feel that you put your FAMILY before your JOB?

Coding: 1=Very often, 2=Sometimes, 3=Rarely, 4=Never

Background:

Q. 48 Work/Non-work Interference and Enhancement
(Not Included in 2004)

Asked only among respondents who are currently working, this set of items assesses the extent to which work has a positive and negative effect on one’s personal life and vice-versa.

Source:

Items: 12 items (Q48a-Q48l)
(Please use the scale below to answer the next set of questions.)
Q48a My work schedule makes it difficult to fulfill personal responsibilities.
Q48b Because of my job, I didn’t have the energy to do things with my family or other important people in my life.
Q48c Job worries or problems distract me when I am not at work.
Q48d My home life kept me from getting work done on time on my job.
Q48e My family or personal life drained me of the energy I needed to do my job.
Q48f I was preoccupied with personal responsibilities while I was at work.
Q48g My work left me enough time to attend to my personal responsibilities.
Q48h My work gave me energy to do things with my family and other important people in my life.
Q48i Because of my job, I was in a better mood at home.
Q48j My personal responsibilities left me enough time to do my job.
Q48k My family or personal life gave me energy to do my job.
Q48l I was in a better mood at work because of my family or personal life.

Coding: 1=Rarely, 2=Sometimes, 3=Often, 4=Most of the time

Scaling: There are four separate dimensions to assess the work/non-work interface: Items should be averaged for each of the four dimensions by combining items as follows:
Work interference with personal life (Q48a, Q48b, Q48c),
Personal life interference with work (Q48d, Q48e, Q48f)
Work enhancement of personal life (Q48g, Q48h, Q48i)
Personal life enhancement with work (Q48j, Q48k, Q48l).

Psychometrics:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work → Personal Life Interference/Conflict</td>
<td>.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Life → Work Interference/Conflict</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work → Personal Life Facilitation</td>
<td>.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal Life → Work Facilitation</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q. 49 Chronic Work Discrimination
(Not Included in 2004)

These items are designed to assess chronic discrimination experienced at work. These questions are only asked of respondents who are currently working.

Source:

Items: 6 items (Q49a-Q49f)
(Here are some situations that can arise at work. Please tell me how often you have experienced them during the LAST 12 MONTHS.)

Q49a How often are you UNFAIRLY given the tasks at work that no one else wants to do?
Q49b How often are you watched more closely than others?
Q49c How often are you bothered by your supervisor or coworkers making slurs or jokes about women or racial or ethnic groups?
Q49d How often do you feel that you have to work twice as hard as others at work?
Q49e How often do you feel that you are ignored or not taken seriously by your boss?
Q49f How often have you been unfairly humiliated in front of others at work?

Coding: 1=Never, 2=Less than once a year, 3=A few times a year, 4=A few times a month, 5=At least once a week, 6=Almost every day

Scaling: Create an index of perceived work discrimination by averaging the scores across all items (range 1-6). Set the final score to missing if more than three of the items have missing values.

Psychometrics: Alpha=.81

Background:

Q. 50 Job Stressors and Job Satisfaction

Q. 23 (2004)

These 15 items capture job stress and job satisfaction. (The same 15 items were included in the 2004 Pilot SAQ.) These questions are only asked of respondents who are currently working. Based on the demand/control model of stress (Karasek, 1979) and items like those contained in the Quinn and Staines Quality of Employment Survey (1977), items were chosen and adapted to assess multiple facets of job satisfaction and multiple work stressors. Psychometric analysis of data on these items in the 2004 pilot study indicated that these items do show two factors: job satisfaction and job stressors.

Source:


Items: 15 items (Q50a-Q50o)

(Please say how much you agree or disagree with each of the following statements)

Q50a All things considered I am satisfied with my job.
Q50b My job is physically demanding.
Q50c I receive the recognition I deserve for my work.
Q50d My salary is adequate.
Q50e My job promotion prospects are poor.
Q50f My job security is poor.
Q50g I am under constant time pressure due to a heavy workload.
Q50h I have very little freedom to decide how I do my work.
Q50i I have the opportunity to develop new skills.
Q50j I receive adequate support in difficult situations.
Q50k Considering the things I have to do at work, I have to work very fast.
Q50l I often feel bothered or upset in my work.
Q50n In my work I am free from conflicting demands that others make.
Q50o The demands of my job interfere with my personal life.

Coding in 2006: 1=Strongly Disagree, 2=Disagree, 3=Agree, 4=Strongly Agree
Coding in 2004: 1=Strongly Agree, 2=Agree, 3=Disagree, 4=Strongly Disagree
(Please note that the coding differs between the 2004 and 2006 versions of the questionnaire. This was done in order to make the order of the code categories consistent across measures within the 2006 questionnaire.)

**Scaling:** Items are scored on a 4 point scale and averaged to produce an index ranging from 1-4 for job satisfaction (items Q50a, Q50c, Q50d, Q50e, Q50f, Q50i, Q50j, Q50k, Q50n, reverse coding items Q50e and Q50f) and job stress (items Q50b, Q50g, Q50h, Q50l, Q50m, Q50o).

In constructing a scale score for the measure in 2004, it will be necessary to reverse-code the items so that higher values indicate higher levels of job stressors and job satisfaction. Reverse coding all of the items in 2004 compared to 2006 will result in creating comparable measures for 2004 and 2006.

**Psychometrics:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Alpha</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Job Satisfaction</td>
<td>.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Stressors</td>
<td>.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2004 Topics Not Included in 2006 Survey Content

Q. 3 Quality of Life (CASP 19)

Source:


Items: 19 items (Q3a-Q3s)
(Here is a list of statements that people have used to describe their lives or how they feel. We would like to know how often, if at all, you feel this way.)

Q3a My age prevents me from doing the things I would like to do.
Q3b I feel that what happens to me is out of my control.
Q3c I feel free to plan for the future.
Q3d I feel left out of things.
Q3e I can do the things I want to do.
Q3f Family responsibilities prevent me from doing what I want to do.
Q3g I feel that I can do as I please.
Q3h My health stops me from doing the things I want to do.
Q3i Shortage of money stops me from doing the things I want to do.
Q3j I look forward to each day.
Q3k I feel that my life has meaning.
Q3l I enjoy the things that I do.
Q3m I enjoy being in the company of others.
Q3n On balance, I look back on my life with a sense of happiness.
Q3o I feel full of energy these days.
Q3p I choose to do things that I have never done before.
Q3q I feel satisfied with the way my life has turned out.
Q3r I feel that life is full of opportunities.
Q3s I feel that the future looks good for me.
Background:

**Q. 6 (items a-b) Control/Mastery**

- **Items:** 2 items (Q6a-Q6b)
  
  *(Here are some questions about how you feel about your life in general. Please say how much you agree or disagree with the following statements.)*
  
  Q6a  At home, I feel I have control over what happens in most situations.
  
  Q6b  I feel that what happens in life is often determined by factors beyond my control.

- **Coding:** 1=Strongly agree, 2=Moderately agree, 3=Slightly agree, 4=Slightly disagree, 5=Moderately disagree, 6=Strongly disagree

**Q. 26 Rating of Aging Experience**

- **Items:** 1 item (Q26)

  *(Check one.)*
  
  Q26  On the whole has growing older been a positive or negative experience?

- **Coding:** 1=Very positive, 2=Mainly positive, 3=Neither positive nor negative, 4=Mainly negative, 5=Very negative
Additional HRS Documentation for Psychosocial Data

Additional information about the HRS psychosocial data is available in the Data Descriptions and Codebooks:

HRS 2004 Data Description:
(See section 8K on page 19)

HRS 2004 Codebook for the Psychosocial Questionnaire:
http://hrsonline.isr.umich.edu/meta/2004/core/codebook/h04lb_ri.htm
(Note: the 2004 data section includes data for both the disability vignettes and psychosocial questionnaire.)

HRS 2006 Data Description:
http://hrsonline.isr.umich.edu/meta/2006/core/desc/h06dd.pdf

HRS 2006 Codebook:
http://hrsonline.isr.umich.edu/meta/2006/core/codebook/h06_00.html

Other Related Studies

English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA)
http://www.ifs.org.uk/elsa

The English Longitudinal Study of Ageing is an interdisciplinary data resource on health, economic position and quality of life as people age.

Survey of Health, Ageing, and Retirement in Europe (SHARE)
http://www.share-project.org/

The Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) is a multidisciplinary and cross-national database of micro data on health, socio-economic status and social and family networks of individuals aged 50 or over. Thus far, eleven countries have contributed data to the 2004 SHARE baseline study. SHARE is coordinated centrally at the Mannheim Research Institute for the Economics of Aging. It has been designed after the role models of the U.S. Health and Retirement Study (HRS) and the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA).
Appendix A:

Psychosocial Measure Concordance between 2004 and 2006 Questionnaires

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2004</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Participation</td>
<td>Q. 1</td>
<td>Q. 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Integration</td>
<td>Q. 2</td>
<td>Q. 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Life Satisfaction</td>
<td>Q. 3</td>
<td>Q. 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Support</td>
<td>Q. 4 – Q. 18</td>
<td>Q. 7 – Q. 21</td>
<td>Added additional item in 2006 “How often do they make too many demands on you?”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cynical Hostility</td>
<td>Q. 19. (items a-e)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (items t-x)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimism/Pessimism</td>
<td>Q. 19 (items f-k)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (items n-s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopelessness</td>
<td>Q. 19. (items l-o)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (items k-m)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loneliness</td>
<td>Q. 20.</td>
<td>Q. 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neighborhood Disorder/Neighborhood Social Cohesion</td>
<td>Q. 21. (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (a-b) (not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sense of Control</td>
<td>Q. 22-23 (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (a-b) (not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Domain Specific Control</td>
<td>Q. 24–Q. 26 (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (a-b) (not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control/Mastery</td>
<td>(not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (a-b) (not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive and Negative Affect</td>
<td>Q. 27 (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (a-b) (not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Religiosity/Spirituality &amp; Prayer Frequency</td>
<td>Q. 28-29 (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (a-b) (not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Everyday Discrimination</td>
<td>Q. 30 (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (a-b) (not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attributions of Everyday Discrimination</td>
<td>Q. 31 (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (a-b) (not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance/Reciprocity</td>
<td>Q. 32 (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (a-b) (not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personality</td>
<td>Q. 33-34 (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (a-b) (not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological Well-Being</td>
<td>Q. 35 (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (items f-i)</td>
<td>Some items included in 2004, but not complete overlap compared to 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Experiences of Lifetime Discrimination</td>
<td>Q. 36 (not included)</td>
<td>Q. 6 (items f-i)</td>
<td>Some items included in 2004, but not complete overlap compared to 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifetime Traumas</td>
<td>Q. 37</td>
<td>Q. 27</td>
<td>Some items (2006 Q37a, e, f, g) were also asked in 2004,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Category</td>
<td>Question(s)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stressful Life Events</td>
<td>Q. 38</td>
<td>Q. 28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Financial Strain</td>
<td>Q. 39</td>
<td>Q. 29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Stressors</td>
<td>Q. 40</td>
<td>Q. 30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>Q. 41</td>
<td>(not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anger</td>
<td>Q. 42</td>
<td>(not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ladder</td>
<td>Q. 43-44</td>
<td>Q. 24-25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work status</td>
<td>Q. 45</td>
<td>Q. 22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work/Family Priorities</td>
<td>Q. 46-47</td>
<td>(not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Work/Non-work Interference and Enhancement</td>
<td>Q. 48</td>
<td>(not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic Work Discrimination</td>
<td>Q. 49</td>
<td>(not included)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Stressors and Job Satisfaction</td>
<td>Q. 50.</td>
<td>Q. 23</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rating of Aging Experience</td>
<td>(not included)</td>
<td>Q. 26</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Who Completed the Questionnaire?</td>
<td>Q. 51</td>
<td>Q. 31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

but not complete overlap compared to 2006.