FINAL VERSION 1 — 6/01/2007

NOTE: THIS IS A REPEAT OF MODULE 4 FROM 2002.

V000 BRANCHPOINT: IF THIS IS NOT A SELF-RESPONDENT (A009 NOT 1), GO TO END OF MODULES

IF R IS ASSIGNED TO MODULE 2 (X009=2), CONTINUE ON TO V000

OTHERWISE, GO TO V000 BRANCHPOINT FOR MODULE 3 (X009=3)

## V000 ModuleIntro

Although we have finished the interview, we would like to ask you a few new questions. Some questions may be similar to questions we have already asked you, but the researchers are interested in how people respond when the questions are changed just a little.

1. R is willing

9. R refused at Module Intro99. R refused after starting ModuleGO TO END OF MODULES

NOTE: X516\_6Random1\_3 is a random variable with three values (1, 2, 3) that randomize the entry point for the percentage of total lifetime income that may be cut if R accepts the second job. A response of '1' takes R on the 'down' path (e.g., from 15 to 10 percent or from 10 to 5 percent) and a response of '2' takes R on the 'up' path (e.g., from 5 to 10 percent or 10 to 15 percent).

If X516_6Random1:	Ask V151-V153	Ask V154-V156	Ask V157-V159
1	YES	NO	NO
2	NO	YES	NO
3	NO	NO	YES

V151 BRANCHPOINT: IF X516 6Random1 3 NOT 1, GO TO V154 BRANCHPOINT

FINAL VERSION 1 — 6/01/2007

## V151 RiskAver

IWER: READ SLOWLY:

Suppose that you are the only income earner in the family. Your doctor recommends that you move because of allergies, and you have to choose between two possible jobs. The first would guarantee your current total family income for life. The second is possibly better paying, but the income is also less certain.

There is a 50-50 chance the second job would increase your total lifetime income by 20 percent and a 50-50 chance that it would cut it by 5 percent.

Which job would you take — the first job or the second job?

1.	First job	GO TO END OF MODULE 2
2.	Second job	
8	DK	GO TO END OF MODULE 2

8. DK GO TO END OF MODULE 29. RF GO TO END OF MODULE 2

#### V152 RiskAvers 2

Suppose the chances were 50-50 that the second job would increase your total lifetime income by 20 percent, and 50-50 that it would cut it by 10 percent.

Would you take the first job or the second job?

1.	First job	GO TO END OF MODULE2
2.	Second job	
8.	DK	GO TO END OF MODULE 2
9.	RF	GO TO END OF MODULE 2

# V153 RiskAvers 3

Suppose the chances were 50-50 that the second job would increase your lifetime income by 20 percent and 50-50 that it would cut it by 15 percent.

Would you take the first job or the second job?

1.	First job	GO TO END OF MODULES
2.	Second job	GO TO END OF MODULE 2
8.	DK	GO TO END OF MODULE 2
9.	RF	GO TO END OF MODULE 2

V154 BRANCHPOINT: IF X516 6Random1 3 NOT 2, GO TO V157 BRANCHPOINT

FINAL VERSION 1 — 6/01/2007

## V154 RiskAvers

IWER: READ SLOWLY:

Suppose that you are the only income earner in the family. Your doctor recommends that you move because of allergies, and you have to choose between two possible jobs. The first would guarantee your current total family income for life. The second is possibly better paying, but the income is also less certain.

There is a 50-50 chance the second job would increase your total lifetime income by 20 percent and a 50-50 chance that it would cut it by 10 percent.

Which job would you take — the first job or the second job?

1.	First job	GO TO V156
2	Second job	

2. Second job

8. DK GO TO END OF MODULE 2
9. RF GO TO END OF MODULE 2

# V155\_RiskAvers\_2

Suppose the chances were 50-50 that the second job would increase your total lifetime income by 20 percent, and 50-50 that it would cut it by 15 percent.

Would you take the first job or the second job?

1.	First job	GO TO END OF MODULE 2
2.	Second job	GO TO END OF MODULE 2
8.	DK	GO TO END OF MODULE 2
9.	RF	GO TO END OF MODULE 2

# V156 RiskAvers 3

Suppose the chances were 50-50 that the second job would increase your lifetime income by 20 percent and 50-50 that it would cut it by 5 percent.

Would you take the first job or the second job?

1.	First job	GO TO END OF MODULE 2
2.	Second job	GO TO END OF MODULE 2
8.	DK	GO TO END OF MODULE 2
9.	RF	GO TO END OF MODULE 2

V157 BRANCHPOINT: IF X516 6Random1 3 NOT 3, GO TO END OF MODULE 2

FINAL VERSION 1 — 6/01/2007

## V157 RiskAvers

IWER: READ SLOWLY:

Suppose that you are the only income earner in the family. Your doctor recommends that you move because of allergies, and you have to choose between two possible jobs. The first would guarantee your current total family income for life. The second is possibly better paying, but the income is also less certain.

There is a 50-50 chance the second job would increase your total lifetime income by 20 percent and a 50-50 chance that it would cut it by 15 percent.

Which job would you take — the first job or the second job?

First job

2. Second job
8. DK
9. RF
GO TO END OF MODULE 2
GO TO END OF MODULE 2

#### V158 RiskAvers 2

Suppose the chances were 50-50 that the second job would increase your total lifetime income by 20 percent, and 50-50 that it would cut it by 10 percent.

Would you take the first job or the second job?

1. First job

2. Second job
8. DK
9. RF
GO TO END OF MODULE 2
GO TO END OF MODULE 2

## V159 RiskAvers 3

Suppose the chances were 50-50 that the second job would increase your lifetime income by 20 percent and 50-50 that it would cut it by 5 percent.

Would you take the first job or the second job?

- 1. First job
- 2. Second job
- 8. DK
- 9. RF

END OF MODULE 2 — GO TO END OF MODULES